



New Class of Dual Vertices

L. Clavelli^{*} and P. Ramond[†]

National Accelerator Laboratory, Batavia, Illinois 60510

ABSTRACT

A new $SU(1, 1)$ coupling scheme, based on the discrete class representations, is proposed to construct factorizable dual amplitudes. We introduce dual vertices for off-shell particles of even spin and discuss other applications of the method. Our analysis suggests the non-existence of a dual vector current within the context of the conventional Veneziano model.

August 1971

^{*}Address after September 1, 1971, Department of Physics, Rutgers Univ., New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903.

[†]Address after September 1, 1971, Department of Physics, Yale Univ., New Haven, Connecticut 06520.



Recently, general criteria¹ for the construction of dual amplitudes have been formulated based on a continuous class representation of $SU(1, 1)$ ². Unfortunately, the coupling scheme between the $SU(1, 1)$ -covariant vertices broke down when the external particles were taken off their mass-shells, thus preventing the construction of dual current amplitudes. In this letter we present similar general criteria for the construction of dual amplitudes based on the discrete class representations of $SU(1, 1)$. In this way, we obtain amplitudes of a kind that have recently arisen in the generalization of the Virasoro amplitude³ as well as in the context of dual treatments of the pomeron⁴ and of scalar currents⁵. Again, we point out that these rules are more general than any particular dual model, thereby supporting the fundamental connection between $SU(1, 1)$ and the duality property.

We consider amplitudes of the form

$$A_N(k_1, \dots, k_N) = \langle 0 | \prod_{i=1}^N V(k_i) | 0 \rangle \delta^4(\sum_{i=1}^N k_i) \quad (1)$$

where $V(k_i)$ represents the absorption of a particle of momentum k_i , and is built in the following way. Take operator functions of k and z , $G(k, z)$ and $H(k, z)$, each of which transforms as a spin J representation of the discrete class of $SU(1, 1)$.

That is, under a finite transformation (see Eq. (A-20) of Ref. 2)

$$e^{i\beta L} G(k, z) e^{-i\beta L} = (\alpha^* - \beta z)^{2J} G(k, z') \quad (2)$$

where

$$z' = \frac{\alpha z - \beta^*}{\alpha^* - \beta^* z} \quad (3)$$

and the $L_i (i=1, 2, 3)$ are the generators of the algebra. Identical equations hold for $H(k, z)$. Then $V(k)$ is given by the invariant inner product over the group space (see Eq. (A-19) of Ref. 2)

$$V(k) = \int d^2 z (1 - |z|^2)^{-2J-2} G^\dagger(k, z) H(k, z) \quad (4)$$

where the integration extends over the unit circle. Clearly $A_N(k_1, \dots, k_N)$ is an $SU(1, 1)$ invariant. Strictly speaking, it is not necessary for G and H to be separately covariant, for any function $K(z)$ satisfying

$$e^{i\beta L} K(z) e^{-i\beta L} = |\alpha^* - \beta z|^{4J} K(z') \quad (5)$$

where z is an arbitrary point inside the unit circle will suffice in place of $G^\dagger H$.

As an example of the above compling scheme, we might take

$$H(k, z) = e^{i \frac{k \cdot \tilde{F}}{\sqrt{2}}(z)} e^{i \frac{k \cdot F}{\sqrt{2}}(z)} \quad (6)$$

where

$$F_{\rho}(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(m-1+\epsilon)!}{m!} \right]^{1/2} a_{\rho}^m z^{m+\epsilon/2} \quad (7a)$$

$$\tilde{F}_{\rho}(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{(m-1+\epsilon)!}{m!} \right]^{1/2} b_{\rho}^{m\dagger} z^{-m-\epsilon/2} \quad (7b)$$

with⁶

$$[a_{\rho}^n, a_{\sigma}^{m\dagger}] = [b_{\rho}^n, b_{\sigma}^{m\dagger}] = g_{\rho\sigma} \delta_{mn} \quad (8a)$$

$$[a, b] = [a, b^{\dagger}] = 0 \quad (8b)$$

and ϵ is an infinitesimal taken to zero at the end of all calculations.

In addition we choose

$$G(k, z) = H(-k, z) \quad (9)$$

It can be checked that both H and G satisfy the requirement^{*} of Eq. 2 with J=0, where the SU(1,1) generators are now the sum of the generators made out of a and b operators.

* Actually H and G are covariant only up to terms of order ϵ which become harmless in the combination $G^{\dagger}H$.

The SU (1, 1) invariant vertex is then given by

$$V(k) = \int d^2 z (1-|z|^2)^{-2} e^{i \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \tilde{F}_a(\bar{z})} e^{i \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot F_b(\bar{z})} e^{i \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \tilde{F}_b(z)} e^{i \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot F_a(z)} \quad (10a)$$

$$= \int d^2 z (1-|z|^2)^{\frac{k^2}{2}-2} |z|^{-k^2/2} e^{i \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot [\tilde{F}_a(\bar{z}) + \tilde{F}_b(z)]} e^{i \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot [F_a(z) + F_b(\bar{z})]} \quad (10b)$$

where we have used

$$F^\dagger(z) = \tilde{F}(\bar{z}) \quad (11)$$

with \bar{z} being the electrostatic reflection of z with respect to the unit circle

$$\bar{z} = z^{*-1} \quad (12)$$

We note that this vertex retains its SU (1, 1) invariance when k^2 is taken off mass shell. The N point amplitude corresponding to this vertex is obtained from Eq. 1 and is given by

$$A_N(k_1, \dots, k_N) = \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{d^2 z_i}{(1-|z_i|^2)^2} \right\} \prod_{i,j=1}^N |z_i - \bar{z}_j|^{k_i \cdot k_j / 2} \quad (13)$$

An expression of this form was proposed by Rebbi and Drummond⁽⁴⁾ in connection with scalar currents. As shown by these authors, the amplitude has poles at $\frac{1}{2} k_i^2 = 1, 0, -1, -2, \dots$ which can be directly seen by expanding the integrand of $V(k)$ around the unit circle:

$$V(k) = \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{R_N(k, \theta)}{\alpha(-k^2) - N} \quad (14)$$

with $\alpha_0 = 1$. The residue of the 1st pole is the on-shell Veneziano vertex written in terms of the operators

$$C_p^{n+} = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} (a_p^{n+} + b_p^{n+}) \quad (15)$$

i. e.

$$R_o(\theta, k) = e^{ik \cdot \tilde{F}_e(e^{i\theta})} e^{ik \cdot F_e(e^{i\theta})} \quad (16)$$

The residue of the second pole, however, is antisymmetric under interchange of a and b operators and thus decouples from an arbitrary number of on-shell mesons represented by the vertex (16).

The building of off-shell vertices for even spin particles is relatively straightforward, using for H and G on-shell vertices previously derived.^{1, 7} As an example, for spin two, we start from

$$H_{\mu_a}(k, z) = z^{-1} [P_{\mu_a}(z) + \tilde{P}_{\mu_b}(z)] e^{i \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}} [\tilde{F}_b(z) + F_a(z)]} \quad (17)$$

where

$$P_{\mu_a}(z) = -i z \frac{d}{dz} \left(q_{\mu\nu} - \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2} \right) F_{\nu a}(z) \quad (18a)$$

$$\tilde{P}_{\mu_b}(z) = -i z \frac{d}{dz} \left(q_{\mu\nu} - \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2} \right) \tilde{F}_{\nu b}(z) \quad (18b)$$

and

$$G_p(k, z) = H_p(-k, z) \quad (19)$$

whence we construct the vertex

$$V_{\rho\mu}(k) = \int d^2z \, G_p^\dagger(k, z) H_\mu(k, z) \quad (20)$$

We note again that, although H_p is not strictly covariant, in the combination $G^\dagger H$ the covariance is regained apart from terms proportional to $(p_{0a} - p_{0b})_\mu$ which annihilate all states in the model even those off mass shell.

The spin two part of the vertex (20) has poles at $\alpha(-k^2) = 2, 3, \dots$ with the residue of the first pole being equal to the conventional spin two vertex^{1, 7} written in terms of the c operators together with another spin two vertex which decouples from on-mass-shell scalars since it involves the combination $(a-b)$. The trace of vertex (20) has poles at $\alpha(-k^2) = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ because it contains a piece proportional to the vertex 10. Due to the bilinear nature of the coupling scheme, the construction of a true vector current does not appear to be a straightforward application of this method.

As a second example we consider the choice

$$H_a(k, z) = z^{-k/4} c_{i\frac{k}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \tilde{F}_a(z)} e_{i\frac{k}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot F_a(z)} \quad (21)$$

$$G_b(k, z) = H_b(-k, z) \quad (22)$$

This time both H and G strictly satisfy the requirement of Eq. 2 with $J = -k^2/4$. The SU(1, 1) invariant vertex is then given by

$$V(k) = \int d^2 z (1-|z|^2)^{k^2/2-2} |z|^{-k^2/2} e^{i \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}} [\tilde{F}_a(z) + \tilde{F}_b(\bar{z})]} e^{i \frac{k}{\sqrt{2}} [F_a(z) + F_b(\bar{z})]} \quad (23)$$

and the corresponding N point amplitude is then

$$A_N(k_1, \dots, k_N) = \prod_{i=1}^N \left\{ d^2 z_i (1-|z_i|^2)^{k_i^2/2-2} |z_i|^{-k_i^2/2} \right\} \times \prod_{i < j} \left(|z_i - z_j| |\bar{z}_i - \bar{z}_j| \right)^{k_i k_j / 2} \quad (24)$$

This expression is equivalent to the one originally proposed by

Drummond⁽⁹⁾ to represent the off shell Veneziano amplitude. However,

Eq. 24 has poles in $k_i \cdot k_j$ instead of in $(k_i + k_j)^2$ because z_i can approach z_j inside the unit circle where there are no compensating

factors from the $1-|z|^2$ terms. Therefore, it is unsuited for an

off shell amplitude. Nevertheless, this amplitude has merits of its

own since it can be regarded as a scattering amplitude for fixed

values of k_i^2 ($\neq 2, -2, -6, \dots$). In particular at the point

$$\alpha_i = \frac{k_i^2}{2} = 2 \quad i = (1, 2, \dots, N)$$

It reduces to the form proposed as the N point generalization of the Virasoro amplitude³. We emphasize that although this amplitude has higher symmetry for $\alpha_0 = 2$, it has been derived solely from an SU(1,1) coupling scheme. Indeed the duality of the n-dimensional generalization of this type of amplitude can be understood in terms of representations of SU(1,1) in higher dimensional spaces.

Furthermore, for any

$$\alpha_0 = \frac{k_i^2}{2} \neq 1, -1, -3, \dots$$

this amplitude retains its s-t-u symmetry, and may thus be the proper continuation in α_0 of the Shapiro-Yoshimura formula.

Still another possible vertex is obtained by choosing

$$H(k, z) = z^{-k^2/8} e^{i\frac{k}{2} \cdot \tilde{F}_a(z)} e^{i\frac{k}{2} \cdot F_a(z)} \quad (25a)$$

$$G(k, z) = H(-k, z) \quad (25b)$$

Where only the a operators are used, hence we will drop

the a subscripts in what follows. The vertex is

$$V(k) = \int d^2 z (1-|z|^2)^{k^2/2-2} |z|^{-k^2/2} \times e^{i\frac{k}{2} \cdot [\tilde{F}(z) + \tilde{F}(\bar{z})]} e^{i\frac{k}{2} \cdot [F(z) + F(\bar{z})]} \quad (26)$$

the corresponding amplitude is

$$A_N = \int \prod_{i=1}^N \left(|z_i|^2 (1-|z_i|^2)^{k_i^2/2-2} \right) \prod_{i,j} \left(|z_i - z_j| |1 - z_i z_j^*| \right)^{k_i \cdot k_j / 2} \quad (27)$$

which can be shown to have no overlapping poles; however it also has poles in $k_i k_j$, which make it unsuitable for an off-shell dual amplitude.

In conclusion we would expect that the scheme presented here, besides allowing for the above synthesis of recent work, will find its widest application in conjunction with the introduction of new oscillators.^{10, 11, 12, 13} Thus for example while we have discovered intrinsic problems with the construction of a vector current in the conventional Veneziano model, the coupling scheme of Eq. (4) readily lends itself to the building of a vector current in bilinear models such as those of Refs. (10) and (11).

¹L. Clavelli and P. Ramond Phys. Rev. D3, 988 (1971).

²L. Clavelli and P. Ramond Phys. Rev. D2, 973 (1970).

This paper contains references to the mathematical literature on $SU(1, 1)$.

³J. A. Shapiro Phys. Letters 33B 361 (1970).

M. Yoshimura, Phys. Letters 34B 79 (1971).

E. Del Giudice and P. DiVecchia MIT preprint CTP No. 167 (1970).

⁴C. Lovelace Phys. Letters 34B 500 (1971).

⁵C. Rebbi Nuovo Cimento Letters 1 967 (1971).

I. T. Drummond CERN preprint TH 1301 (Revised Version) (1971).

⁶S. Fubini, D. Gordon and G. Veneziano Phys Letters 29B 679 (1969)

Y. Nambu, Univ. of Chicago Report No. EFl 69-64 (unpublished).

⁷P. Campagna, E. Napolitano, S. Sciuto, and S. Fubini N.C. 2A 911 (1971).

⁸I. T. Drummond CERN preprint TH 1301 (1971).

⁹C. Sommerfield, unpublished (1970).

R. Brower and P. Goddard CERN preprint (1971).

¹⁰K. Bardakci and M. Halpern Phys. Rev. D3 2493 (1971).

¹¹L. Clavelli Phys. Rev. D4 3166 (1971).

¹²P. Ramond Phys. Rev. D3 2415 (1971).

¹³A. Neveu and S. Schwarz Phys. Rev. to be published.